2	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/664,378	RAUBER ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview cultimary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>AB</u>	BANDONED
(1) <u>LEYNNA T. HA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>DAVID CROSBY</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2007	Time: <u>1:20PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A	· ·	
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	,	
	~	
hlps H=		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to Mr.Crosby to inquire the status of the application since a response to the Non-Final office action (mailed 10/10/2006) has not been received and that the application has passed the 6 months abandonment date. Mr.Crosby responded that he does not know the status because applicant's company went out of business. Mr.Crosby can't confirm who acquired this application nor if there will be a response to the office action.